UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,489	05/05/2006	Csaba Antal	4208-30	9421
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 03/28/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	BATISTA, MARCOS		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/578,489	ANTAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARCOS BATISTA	4134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 May 2006 is/are: a)	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊟ objected to b				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/05/2006, 12/19/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 4134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willars et al. (US 20010053145 A1), hereafter "Willars," in view of Fant et al. (US 20040076151 A1), hereafter "Fant."

Consider claim 1, Willars discloses a method for controlling the user plane of a UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network, UTRAN, comprising a first edge node (1-26) connected via a Transport Network Layer to a second edge node (1-N), by using Transport Network Layer, TNL, signaling, the method comprises the step of (see fig. 1, [0020]): setting up a radio link by using the Node B (28) Application Part between the first and second edge nodes of the UTRAN, the method is characterized in that it comprises the further steps of (see fig. 1, [0203]). Willars, however, does not particular refer to transmitting RSVP-TE based TNL signaling messages between said first and second edge nodes for each TNL flow or identifying each TNL flow by using RSVP-TE messages, wherein the object SESSION and SENDER-TEMPLATE comprises an IP based 5-tuple flow information, which is adapted to be used as a TNL flow identity.

Art Unit: 4134

Fant teaches transmitting RSVP-TE based TNL signaling messages between said first and second edge nodes for each TNL flow (see [0025]). Fant also teaches identifying each TNL flow by using RSVP-TE messages, wherein the object SESSION and SENDER-TEMPLATE comprises an IP based 5-tuple flow information, which is adapted to be used as a TNL flow identity (see [0025], [0031]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Willars and have it include transmitting RSVP-TE based TNL signaling messages between said first and second edge nodes for each TNL flow and identifying each TNL flow by using RSVP-TE messages, wherein the object SESSION and SENDER-TEMPLATE comprises an IP based 5-tuple flow information, which is adapted to be used as a TNL flow identity, as taught by Fant. The motivation would have been in order to create a unique connection between nodes to ensure the transmission of data (see [0025], [0031]).

Consider claim 2, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Fant also teaches establishing one RSVP-TE tunnel for each connection direction between the first edge node and the second edge node (see [0031]). The motivation would have been in order to create a unique connection between nodes to ensure the transmission of data (see [0025], [0031]).

Consider claim 3, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Fant also teaches initiating the TNL signaling by sending a PATH message comprising at least reservation information such as bandwidth for interior nodes and at least the TNL flow identity (see fig. 1, [0021], [0025]). The motivation would have been in order to create a unique connection between nodes to ensure the transmission of data (see [0025], [0031]).

Consider claim 4, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Fant also teaches processing the reservation information in each interior node between the edge nodes (see [0025], [0031]). The motivation would have been in order to create a unique connection between nodes to ensure the transmission of data (see [0025], [0031]).

Consider claim 5, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Fant also teaches processing the TNL flow identity in the edge nodes (see [0025], [0031], [0035]). The motivation would have been in order to create a unique connection between nodes to ensure the transmission of data (see [0025], [0031]).

Consider claim 6, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Fant also teaches responding to said PATH message by transmitting a RESV message comprising standard RSVP-TE objects and PHR and PDR objects in the reverse direction (see [0025]). The motivation would have been in order to create a unique connection between nodes to ensure the transmission of data (see [0025], [0031]).

Consider claim 7, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Fant also teaches responding to said PATH message by transmitting a RESV message comprising standard RSVP-TE, PHR, PDR objects or AAL2 - LABEL - REQUEST or AAL2 LABEL objects in the reverse direction, and inserting a resource reservation confirmation information in said RESV message (see [0025], [0031]). The motivation would have been in order to create a unique connection between nodes to ensure the transmission of data (see [0025], [0031]).

Page 5

Consider claim 8, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also teaches wherein the first edge node is a Radio Network Controller in the UTRAN and the second edge node is a Node B in the UTRAN (see fig. 1, [0018], [0050]).

Consider claim 9, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also wherein the second edge node is a Radio Network Controller in the UTRAN and the first edge node is a Node B in UTRAN (see fig. 1, [0018], [0050]).

Consider claim 10, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also teaches wherein the first edge node is a Radio Network Controller in the UTRAN and the second edge node is an InterWorking Unit between an IP based part of the UTRAN and an AAL2IATM part of the UTRAN (see fig. 2B, [0026], [0055]).

Consider claim 11, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also wherein the second edge node is a Radio Network Controller in the UTRAN and the first edge node is an InterWorking Unit between an IP based part of the UTRAN and an AAL2IATM part of the UTRAN (see fig. 2B, [0026], [0055]).

Consider claim 12, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also configuring an AAL2IATM UTRAN part by sending a PATH message comprising a Channel Identification Value, CID, VPIIVCI values to adjacent nodes along the path of the connection (see fig. 4A, [0014], [0078], [0085]).

Consider claim 13, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also wherein the object LABEL - REQUEST with ATM Label Range is adapted to carry VPINCI values and AAL2 - LABEL - REQUEST is adapted to carry CID value (see fig. 4A, [0014], [0078], [0085]).

Consider claim 14, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also responding to said PATH message and said AAL2 label request by transmitting a RESV message comprising at least an ATM LABEL object comprising VPI and VCI and an AAL2 LABEL object comprising CID of the connection (see fig. 4A(1), [0061], [0123]).

Consider claim 15, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also teaches processing the LABEL and AAL2-LABEL objects by the same nodes in which

LABEL REQUEST and AAL2 - LABEL-REQUEST were originated (see [0038], [0067], [0095]).

Consider claim 16, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also teaches ensuring the Quality of Service (QoS) in the ATMIAAL2 network part, by using AAL2 CAC (see [0073], [0078]).

Consider claim 17, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also teaches wherein the less significant eight bits of the objects LABEL-REQUEST and the object LABEL with AAL2 label range comprises a CID value (see [0016]).

Consider claim 18, Willars as modified by Fant teaches claim 1. Willars also teaches when an Inter-working Unit (IW) operates between the ATM network part and the IP network part, the method comprises the further step of: translating the Q.AAL2 and the IP-ALCAP messages to said RSVP-TE based TNL signaling messages (see [0022], [0063], [0064]).

Consider claims 19-36, these are arrangement claims corresponding to method claims 1-18. Therefore, they have been analyzed and rejected based upon the method claims 1-18 respectively.

Art Unit: 4134

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Marcos Batista, whose telephone number is (571) 270-

5209. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00am to

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Lun-Yi Lao can be reached at (571) 272-7671. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone

number is (571) 272-2600.

Marcos Batista

/M. B./

03/20/2008

Art Unit: 4134

/LUN-YI LAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4134